

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

*Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City  
Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. Hitachi, Ltd., et  
al.*, No. 11-cv-05502;

*CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*,  
No. 11-cv-06396;

*Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Hitachi, Ltd.,  
et al.*, No. 11-cv-06397;

*Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P., v. Hitachi, Ltd.,  
et al.*, No. 13-cv-02171;

*Electrograph Systems, Inc. and Electrograph  
Technologies Corp., v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No.  
11-cv-01656;

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SDI  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
FOR LACK OF STANDING AS TO  
DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS'  
SHERMAN ACT DAMAGE CLAIMS  
BASED ON CRT PRODUCT  
PURCHASES FROM SAMSUNG  
ELECTRONICS**

1 *Interbond Corporation of America v. Hitachi,*  
2 *Ltd., et al.,* No. 11-cv-06275;

3 *Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.,* No. 11-  
4 cv-06276;

5 *P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., Marta*  
6 *Coooperative of Am., Inc., ABC Appliance, Inc.*  
7 *v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,* No. 12-cv-02648;

8 *Schultze Agency Services, LLC, on behalf of*  
9 *Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC v.*  
10 *Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,* No. 12-cv-02649;

11 *Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.*  
12 *Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.,* No. 11-cv-  
13 05514;

14 *Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.,*  
15 *et al.,* No. 11-cv-05514;

16 *Tech Data Corp and Tech Data Product*  
17 *Management, Inc., v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,* No.  
18 13-cv-00157;

19 *ViewSonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes,*  
20 *Ltd., et al.,* No. 14-02510.

1 Defendants Samsung SDI America, Inc.; Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Samsung SDI (Malaysia)  
2 SDN. Bhd.; Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. De C.V.; Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda.; Shenzhen Samsung  
3 SDI Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.’s (collectively, “SDI”) Motion for Partial Summary  
4 Judgment for Lack of Standing as to Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Sherman Act Damage Claims Based  
5 on CRT Product Purchases from Samsung Electronics (“Motion”) came on regularly for hearing  
6 before this Court.

7 Having considered all the papers filed in support of and in opposition of said Motion, and  
8 having entertained argument of counsel, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED  
9 that SDI’s Motion is GRANTED in full, and Plaintiffs’ Sherman Act damage claims based on  
10 purchases of CRT Products from Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and its subsidiaries are dismissed  
11 with prejudice.

12  
13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14  
15 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

16  
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Hon. Samuel Conti  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28